GURU NANAK COLLEGE BUDHLADA

(Student Satisfaction Survey Report 2019-2020)

1. ANALYSIS OF STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (N=2000)

(a) Section-A : Student's Prediction about Teacher

Sr. No	Contents`	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
1.	Regularity and Punctuality of the Teacher	0	0	80	560	1360
2.	Use Helping material (Chart, Maps, white Board etc.)	0	12	292	422	1274
3.	Competency level of Teacher in bringing conceptual clarity and increasing thinking ability.	11	9	60	1100	820
4.	Depth of Subject Knowledge of the teacher	0	2	38	800	1160
5.	Proficiency in Communication and having soft Skills.	0	0	97	820	1083
6.	Competency in citing Practical examples	0	0	174	926	900
7.	Computer Literacy and ICT skills of the teacher.	2	62	192	1020	724
8.	Interaction and guidance of the teacher outside the class.	14	16	318	632	1020
9.	Inspirational Capacity of the teacher.	5	26	165	1020	784
10.	On the whole Performance of the teacher	0	0	120	1100	780

Table:-1.1 Regularity and Punctuality of the Teacher (**N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	1360	68%
Good	560	28%
Average	80	4%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:-It is observed that 96% students **feel** their teachers are Regular & Punctual and among them 68% students **strongly feel** that the teacher's are regular and punctual.

Table:-1.2 Use Helping material like Chart, Maps, White Board etc. (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	1274	63.7%
Good	422	21.1%
Average	292	14.6%
Poor	12	0.6%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:-It is observed that 84.80% students **feel** that their teachers use helping Material and 63.70% students **strongly feel** that the teachers use helping Material

Table:-1.3 Competency level of Teacher in bringing conceptual clarity and increasing thinking ability (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	820	41%
Good	1100	55%
Average	60	3%
Poor	9	0.45%
Very Poor	11	0.55%



Interpretation:-It is observed that 96% students **feel** the competency of the teachers in bringing conceptual clarity and increasing thinking ability is good and among them 41% having excellent ability

Table:-1.4 Depth of Subject Knowledge of the teacher (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	1160	58%
Good	800	40%
Average	38	1.9%
Poor	2	0.1%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:-98% students are highly satisfied with the knowledge about subject and concept clarity of teachers and among them 58% students are strongly agree with this.

Table:-1.5 Proficiency in Communication and having soft Skills.(N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	1083	54.15%
Good	820	41%
Average	97	4.85%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:-95.15% students are satisfied with the teacher's Proficiency in communication and soft skills and among them 54.15% are strongly satisfied with this factor.

Table:-1.6 Competency in citing Practical examples (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	900	45%
Good	926	46.3%
Average	174	8.7%
Poor	0	0
Very Poor	0	0



Interpretation:-91.3-% students are satisfied with the competency in citing Practical examples.

Table:-1.7 Computer Literacy and ICT skills of the teacher (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	724	36.2%
Good	1020	51.0%
Average	192	9.6%
Poor	62	3.1%
Very Poor	2	0.1%



Interpretation: The above table reveals that 87.20% students have rated teacher's computer /IT Skills as good or excellent

Table:-1.8 Interaction and guidance of the teacher outside the class (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	1020	51%
Good	632	31.6%
Average	318	15.9%
Poor	16	0.8%
Very Poor	14	0.7%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 82.60% respondents agree that teachers interact as well as guide outside class environment.

Table:-1.9 Inspirational Capacity of the teacher (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	784	39.2%
Good	1020	51%
Average	165	8.25%
Poor	26	1.3%
Very Poor	5	0.25%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 90.2% respondents agree that Inspiration capacity of the teachers is good.

Table:-1.10 Whole Performance of the teacher. (N = 2000)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	780	39%
Good	1100	55%
Average	120	6%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 94% respondents agree that Whole performance of teacher is good and among them 39% is Excellent.

(b) Section B: Questions related to Course syllabus, assignments and class Tests

Sr. No	Contents	Yes	No	No Comments
1.	Whether in the starting of session introduction was given about Subject and Syllabus	1764	85	151
2.	Whether the syllabus is adequate or not?	1885	71	44
3.	Whether any Study material was given by teacher related to Course	1798	97	105
4.	Whether all Syllabus is completed by teacher	1845	65	90
5.	Whether extra knowledge is given by teacher related to Subject	1808	56	136
6.	Whether the assignments are given or not?	1816	30	154
7.	Whether the class Tests are given or not?	1628	105	267

Table:-1.1 Whether in the starting of session introduction was given about Subject and Syllabus **(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1764	88.2%
NO	85	4.25%
NO COMMENT	151	7.55%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 88.2% respondents agree that in the starting of session introduction was given about Subject and Syllabus

Table:- 1.2 Whether the syllabus is adequate or not? **(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1885	94.25%
NO	71	3.55%
NO COMMENT	44	2.2%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 94.25% respondents agree that Syllabus is always adequate.

Table:-1.3 Whether any Study material was given by teacher related to Course **(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1798	89.9%
NO	97	4.85%
NO COMMENT	105	5.25%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 89.9% respondents agree that Study material was given by teacher related to Course

Table:-1.4 Whether all Syllabus is completed by teacher ?**(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1845	92.25%
NO	65	3.25%
NO COMMENT	90	4.5%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 92.25% respondents agree that all Syllabus is completed by teacher.

Table:-1.5 Whether extra knowledge is given by teacher related to Subject?**(N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1808	90.4%
NO	56	2.8%
NO COMMENT	136	6.8%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 90.4% respondents agree that extra knowledge is given by teacher related to Subject.

Table:-1.6 Whether the assignments are given or not?**(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1816	90.8%
NO	30	1.5%
NO COMMENT	154	7.7%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 90.8% respondents agree that the assignments are given.

Table:-1.7 Whether the class Tests are given or not?(**N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1628	81.4%
NO	105	5.25%
NO COMMENT	267	13.35%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 81.4% respondents agree that class Tests are given.

(c) Section C: Feedback on Administration

Sr. No	Contents	Yes	No
1.	Are you satisfied with the behavior and communications of Non-teaching and administration office	1898	102
2.	Are you satisfied with the services and facilities of College	1924	76
3.	Is the campus eco-friendly	1913	87
4.	Are you provided with enough and pure drinking water	1936	64
5.	Are you satisfied with the Sports and Culture facilities	1942	58
6.	Are you satisfied with the facilities of transport and other related services	1955	45
7.	Are you aware of the "NCC and NSS" activities in the college	1905	95
8.	Are you aware of "Anti-sexual Harassment Cell", "Nanhi-Chaan,"Anti-Ragging" and "Welfare" etc.	1895	105

Table:-1.1 Are you satisfied with the behavior and communications of Non-teaching and administration office?(**N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1898	94.9%
NO	102	5.1%



Interpretation:-It is analyzed from the table that 94.9% students are satisfied with the behavior of nonteaching staff.

Table:-1.2 Are you satisfied with the services and facilities of College?**(N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1924	96.2%
NO	76	3.8%



Interpretation:-It is analyzed from the table that 96.2% students are satisfied with the services and facilities of college.

Table:-1.3 Is the campus eco-friendly?(**N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1913	95.65%
NO	87	4.35%



Interpretation:-According to this table, 95.65% students agreed with the eco-friendly system of the college.

Table:-1.4 Are you provided with enough and pure drinking water?(**N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1936	81.4%
NO	64	5.25%



Interpretation:-According to this table, 81.4% students are satisfied with the quality of pure drinking water.

Table:-1.5 Are you satisfied with the Sports and Culture facilities?(**N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1942	97.1%
NO	58	2.9%



Interpretation:-It is analyzed from the above table that 97.1% students are satisfied with the sports and cultural activities.

Table:-1.6 Are you satisfied with the facilities of transport and other related services?**(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1955	97.75%
NO	45	2.25%



Interpretation:-According to this table analyzed that 97.75% students are satisfied with transport and other facilities.

Table:-1.7 Are you aware of the "NCC and NSS" activities in the college?**(N = 2000)**		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
YES	1905	95.25%
NO	95	4.75%



Interpretation:-it is analyzed from the above table that 95.25% students are known from NSS and NCC activities.

Table:-1.8 Are you aware of "Anti-sexual Harassment Cell", "Nanhi-Chaan,"Anti-Ragging" and "Welfare" etc.?**(N = 2000)**

Criteria	Number of Students	% age
YES	1895	94.75%
NO	105	5.25%



Interpretation:-it is analyzed from the above table that 94.75% students are known about college cells and its activities and 5.25% are not known

(d) Section D: Library

1) How often do you visit the library?	**Yes**	1734	86.7%	**No**	266	13.3%
2) Are the required numbers of titles on your subject available in the library?	**Yes**	1787	89.35%	**No**	213	10.65%
3) Are you satisfied with the cataloguing and arrangement of books in the library?	**Yes**	1810	90.4%	**No**	190	9.6%
4) Are you satisfied with the availability of reading space in the library?	**Yes**	1845	92.25%	**No**	155	7.75%
5) Is the library staff co-operative and helpful?	**Yes**	1860	93%	**No**	140	7%



Interpretation:- It is analyzed that 90.30% students are satisfied with the facilities of the library.

(e) Section E: Other

Sr. No	Contents	Yes	% age	No	% age
1.	Any Guest Lecture, Workshop, Educational Tour and Industrial Tour etc.	1898	94.9%	102	5.1%
2.	Are Extra Curricular activities like Sports, cultural activities, NSS and NCC	1924	96.2%	76	3.8%



Interpretation:- It is analyzed that 95.55% students are satisfied that Guest lectures, Workshops, Tours and extra Curricular activities are organized.

2. ANALYSIS OF HOSTEL FEED BACK FORM

This Question includes 3 sections like Section A, B & C. Section A describes Hostel Facilities, Section B describes Mess Facilities to the hostlers and Section C shown Warden and Staff Behavior to the hostlers.

SECTION A: HOSTEL FACILITIES (N=58)

Sr. No	Contents	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
1.	Cleanliness of Rooms	0	1	10	29	18
2.	Availability of Furniture Equipment	0	0	10	30	18
3	Cleanliness of Surroundings	0	0	7	30	21
4	Recreational Services	0	0	8	30	20
5	Library Services	0	0	10	30	18
6	Medical Facilities	0	0	8	30	20

Table 1.1 Cleanliness of Rooms N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	18	31.03%
Good	29	50.00%
Average	10	17.24%
Poor	1	1.72%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table is that 81.03% respondents are strongly agreed with the cleanliness of rooms.

Table 1.2 Availability of Furniture Equipment N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	18	31.03%
Good	30	51.72%
Average	10	17.25%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table is that 82.75% respondents are strongly agreed with the availability of Furniture.

Table 1.3 Cleanliness of Surroundings N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	21	36.20%
Good	30	51.74%
Average	7	12.06%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table is that 87.94% respondents are strongly agreed with the cleanliness of surrounding.

Table 1.4 Recreational Services N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	20	34.48%
Good	30	51.72%
Average	8	13.80%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 86.2% respondents are strongly agreed with the recreational services.

Table 1.5 Library Services N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	18	31.03%
Good	30	51.72%
Average	10	17.24%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 82.75% respondents are strongly agreed with the Library Services.

Table 1.6 Medical Facilities N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	19	32.75%
Good	31	53.44%
Average	7	12.06%
Poor	1	1.72%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: It is analyzed from above table that 86.19% respondents are strongly agreed with the Medical Facilities provided by the College.

SECTION B: MESS FACILITIES (N=58)

Sr. No	Contents	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
1.	Hygiene	0	3	6	33	16
2.	Kitchen and Dining Hall	0	0	7	34	17
3	Mess services	0	4	3	31	20
4	Quality of Drinking Water	0	3	5	32	18
5	Quality of Food	0	0	7	23	28
6	Seating arrangement	0	0	3	34	21
7	Timings of Mess	0	0	8	35	15
8	Variety of Meals	0	0	13	36	9

Table 1.1 Room Hygiene N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	16	27.58%
Good	33	56.89%
Average	6	10.34%
Poor	3	5.19%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation: 84.47% students say that they have found the rooms of the college hostel to be good hygiene.

Table 1.2 Kitchen and Dining Hall N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	17	29.31%
Good	34	58.62%
Average	7	12.07%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation: 87.93% students said that their kitchen and Dining Hall are always clean among these 29.31% said strictly agree with this factor.

Table 1.3 Mess Service N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	20	34.48%
Good	31	53.44%
Average	3	5.17%
Poor	4	6.18%
Very Poor	0	0



Interpretation: 87.92% students said that mess services are always good.

Table 1.4 Quality of Drinking Water N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	18.00	31.03%
Good	32.00	55.17%
Average	5.00	8.62%
Poor	3.00	5.17%
Very Poor	0.00	0%



Interpretation: 55.17% students said that quality of drinking water is always good and 31.03% said it is excellent.

Table 1.5 Quality of Food N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	28	48.27%
Good	23	39.65%
Average	7	12.06%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation:48.27% students said that quality of food is Excellent and 39.65% said it is good.

Table 1.6 Seating Arrangement N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	21	36.20%
Good	34	58.62%
Average	3	5.17%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: 94.82% students said that seating arrangement of students is always good.

Table 1.7 Timing of Mess N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	15	25.86%
Good	35	60.34%
Average	8	13.79%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: 25.86% students said that time of opening and closing of mess is Excellent & 60.34% said it is good and 13.79% said it is average.

Table 1.8 Variety of Meals N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	9	15.51%
Good	36	65.45%
Average	13	23.63%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: 15.51% students said that there is variety of meal available in mess but 65.45% students said that not all but a number of varieties of food is available.

SECTION C: WARDEN AND STAFF (N=58)

Sr. No	Contents	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
1.	Behavior of Warden.	0	0	7	26	25
2.	Focus on problems.	0	0	3	15	40
3	Visits by warden.	0	0	0	13	45

Table 1.1 Behavior of Warden N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	25	43.10%
Good	26	44.82%
Average	7	12.06%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation: 43.10 % students strongly satisfied with the behavior of warden and 44.82% said that it is good.

Table 1.2 Focus on Problem N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	40	68.96%
Good	15	25.86%
Average	3	5.17%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation:-68.96% students are strongly agreed and 25.86% are agreeing that hostel warden focus on their problems regularly.

Table 1.3 Visits By Warden N=58		
Criteria	**No. of students**	**Percentage**
Excellent	45	77.59%
Good	13	22.41%
Average	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Very Poor	0	0.00%



Interpretation:-77.59% students are strongly agreed and 22.41% are agreeing that hostel warden always visit their rooms.

3. ANALYSIS OF PARENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (N=500)

(a) Section-A : Parent's Satisfaction

Sr. No	Contents`	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
1.	Admission Process	5	11	57	92	335
2.	Improvement in knowledge of your daughter/son	4	10	56	94	336
3.	Improvement in skills of your daughter/son	1	9	59	83	348
4.	Improvement in Attitude of your daughter/son	0	13	45	80	362
5.	Improvement in the level of self-confidence of your daughter/son	3	15	41	67	374
6.	Enhancement in the job opportunities of your daughter/son	1	8	55	87	349
7.	Overall Performance(Academic and Extra Curricular activities) of your daughter/son	0	6	55	74	365
8.	Interaction/communication with the college administration	1	4	72	83	340
9.	The College ambience is conductive for learning	2	5	51	74	368
10.	Safety of your daughter/son in the college campus	0	3	80	71	346
11.	The facilities including library, hostel, transport etc.	1	3	53	64	379
12.	Overall rating of the college	3	2	58	82	355

Table:-1.1 Admission Process (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	335	67%
Good	92	18.4%
Average	57	11.4%
Poor	11	2.2%
Very Poor	5	1%



Interpretation:- 67% Parents are strongly agreed and 18.4%are agreeing that Admission Process is Good.

Table:-1.2 Improvement in knowledge of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	336	67.2%
Good	94	18.8%
Average	56	11.2%
Poor	10	2%
Very Poor	4	0.8%



Interpretation:- 67.2% Parents are strongly agreed and 18.8%are agreeing that there is Improvement in knowledge of their daughter/son

Table:-1.3 Improvement in skills of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	348	69.6%
Good	83	16.65%
Average	59	11.8%
Poor	9	1.8%
Very Poor	1	0.2%



Interpretation:- 69.6% Parents are strongly agreed and 16.65%are agreeing that there is Improvement in skills of their daughter/son

Table:-1.4 Improvement in Attitude of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	362	72.4%
Good	80	16%
Average	45	9%
Poor	13	2.6%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:- 72.4% Parents are strongly agreed and 16% are agreeing that there is Improvement in Attitude of their daughter/son

Table:-1.5 Improvement in the level of self-confidence of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	374	74.8%
Good	67	13.4%
Average	41	8.2%
Poor	15	3%
Very Poor	3	0.6%



Interpretation:- 74.8%Parents are strongly agreed and 13.4%are agreeing that there is Improvement in the level of self-confidence of their daughter/son

Table:-1.6 Enhancement in the job opportunities of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	349	69.8%
Good	87	17.4%
Average	55	11%
Poor	8	1.6%
Very Poor	1	0.2%



Interpretation:- 69.8%Parents are strongly agreed and 17.4% are agreeing that there is enhancement in job opportunities of their daughter/son

Table:-1.7 Overall Performance(Academic and Extra Curricular activities) of your daughter/son (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	365	73%
Good	74	14.8%
Average	55	11%
Poor	6	1.2%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:- 73% Parents are strongly agreed and 14.8% are agreeing that there is enhancement in overall Performance of their daughter/son

Table:-1.8 Interaction/communication with the college administration (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	340	68%
Good	83	16.6%
Average	72	14.4%
Poor	4	0.8%
Very Poor	1	0.2%



Interpretation:- 68% Parents are strongly agreed and 16.6% are agreeing that there is good interaction /communication with the college

Table:-1.9 The College ambience is conductive for learning (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	368	73.6%
Good	74	14.8%
Average	51	10.2%
Poor	5	1%
Very Poor	2	0.4%



Interpretation:- 73.6% Parents are strongly agreed and 14.8% are agreeing that the College ambience is conductive for learning

Table:-1.10 Safety of your daughter/son in the college campus (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	346	69.2%
Good	71	14.2%
Average	80	16%
Poor	3	0.6%
Very Poor	0	0%



Interpretation:- 69.2% Parents are strongly agreed and 14.2% are agreeing that there is safety of their daughter/son in the college Campus

Table:-1.11 The facilities including library, hostel, transport etc. (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	379	75.8%
Good	64	12.8%
Average	53	10.6%
Poor	3	0.6%
Very Poor	1	0.2%



Interpretation:- 75.8% Parents are strongly agreed and 12.8% are agreeing that there are good facilities including library, hostel, transport etc.

Table:-1.12 Overall rating of the college (N = 500)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Excellent	355	71%
Good	82	16.4%
Average	58	11.6%
Poor	2	0.4%
Very Poor	3	0.6%



Interpretation:- 71% Parents are strongly agreed and 16.4% are agreeing that overall college is very good.

4. ANALYSIS OF ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM (N=400)

(a) Section-A : About College

Sr. No	Contents`	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Fair	Good	Very Good
1.	Admission Procedure	10	52	98	51	189
2.	Infrastructure and Lab facilities	5	49	106	49	191
3.	Faculty	4	41	115	41	199
4.	Project Guidance	2	39	120	52	187
5.	Quality of support material	7	54	108	55	176
6.	Training and Placement	9	51	95	51	194
7.	Library	3	57	101	52	187
8.	Canteen Facilities	5	49	96	65	185
9.	Hostel Facilities	2	47	103	68	180
10.	Overall Rating of the College	3	38	97	67	195
11.	Alumni Association/ Network of Old Friends	7	39	99	59	196

Table:-1.1 Admission Process (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	189	47.25%
Good	51	12.75%
Fair	98	24.5%
Satisfactory	52	13%
Unsatisfactory	10	2.5%



Interpretation:- 47.25% Students are strongly agreed and 12.75% are agreeing that Admission process is good.

Table:-1.2 Infrastructure and Lab facilities (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	191	47.75%
Good	49	12.25%
Fair	106	26.5%
Satisfactory	49	12.25%
Unsatisfactory	5	0.4%



Interpretation:- 47.75% Students are strongly agreed and 12.25% are agreeing that Infrastructure and Lab facilities is good.

Table:-1.3 Faculty (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	199	49.75%
Good	41	10.25%
Fair	115	28.75%
Satisfactory	41	10.25%
Unsatisfactory	4	1%



Interpretation:- 49.75% Students are strongly agreed and 10.25% are agreeing that Faculty is good.

Table:-1.4 Project Guidance (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	187	46.75%
Good	52	13%
Fair	120	30%
Satisfactory	39	9.75%
Unsatisfactory	2	0.5%



Interpretation:- 46.75% Students are strongly agreed and 13% are agreeing that Project Guidance is good.

Table:-1.5 Quality of support material (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	176	44%
Good	55	13.75%
Fair	108	27%
Satisfactory	54	13.5%
Unsatisfactory	7	1.75%



Interpretation:- 44% Students are strongly agreed and 13.75%are agreeing that Quality of support material is good.

Table:-1.6 Training and Placement (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	194	48.5%
Good	51	12.75%
Fair	95	23.75%
Satisfactory	51	12.75%
Unsatisfactory	9	2.25%



Interpretation:- 48.5% Students are strongly agreed and 12.75% are agreeing that Training and Placement is good.

Table:-1.7 Library (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	187	46.75%
Good	52	13%
Fair	101	25.25%
Satisfactory	57	14.25%
Unsatisfactory	3	0.75%



Interpretation:- 46.75% Students are strongly agreed and 13%are agreeing that Library is good.

Table:-1.8 Canteen Facilities (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	185	46.25%
Good	65	16.25%
Fair	96	24%
Satisfactory	49	12.25%
Unsatisfactory	5	1.25%



Interpretation:- 46.25% Students are strongly agreed and 16.25% are agreeing that Canteen Facilities are good.

Table:-1.9 Hostel Facilities (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	180	45%
Good	68	17%
Fair	103	25.75%
Satisfactory	47	11.75%
Unsatisfactory	2	0.5%



Interpretation:- 45% Students are strongly agreed and 17% are agreeing that Hostel Facilities are good.

Table:-1.10 Overall Rating of the College (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	195	48.75%
Good	67	16.75%
Fair	97	24.25%
Satisfactory	38	9.5%
Unsatisfactory	3	0.75%



Interpretation:- 48.75% Students are strongly agreed and 16.75% are agreeing that Overall Rating of the College is good.

Table:-1.11 Alumni Association/ Network of Old Friends (N = 400)		
Criteria	**Number of Students**	**% age**
Very Good	196	49%
Good	59	14.75%
Fair	99	24.75%
Satisfactory	39	9.75%
Unsatisfactory	7	1.75%



Interpretation:- 49% Students are strongly agreed and 14.75% are agreeing that Alumni Association/ Network of Old Friends is held.

(b) Section-B : About Department and College (400)

Sr. No	Contents`	Yes	% age	No	% age
1	Do you feel proud to be associated with college as Alumni	362	90.5%	38	9.5%
2	How do you rate development activities organized by the college for your Overall development	365	91.25%	35	8.75%
3	Were/Are your grievances properly handled at the college as a student	360	90%	40	10%
4	Were/ Are your grievances properly handled at the college as Alumni	367	91.75%	33	8.25%
5	Have you obtained sufficient technical knowledge(both in theory and Practice) at College	371	92.75%	29	7.25%
6	Were the HOD's and Faculties co-operative	376	94%	24	6%
7	Is the Education imparted at college useful and relevant in your present job	359	89.75%	41	10.25%


100.00%
90.00%
80.00%
70.00%
60.00%
50.00%
40.00%
30.00%
20.00%
10.00%
0.00%
90.50%
91.25%
90%
91.75%
92.75%
94%
89.75%
9.50%
8.75%
10%
8.25%
7.25%
6%
10.25%
Yes
No
Do you feel proud to be associated with college as Alumni
How do you rate development activities organized by the college for your Overall development
Were/Are your grievances properly handled at the college as a student
Were/ Are your grievances properly handled at the college as Alumni
Have you obtained sufficient technical knowledge(both in theory and Practice) at College
Were the HOD's and Faculties co-operative
Is the Education imparted at college useful and relevant in your present job

(c) Section-C : About Placement Training Cell (400)

Sr. No	Contents`	Yes	% age	No	% age
1	Has the Placement Training Cell provided ample On Campus placement opportunities	83	20.75%	317	79.25%
2	Has the Placement Training Cell provided ample Off Campus placement opportunities	121	30.25%	279	69.75%
3	Have you availed Career counseling and guidance for higher studies from Placement Training Cell	245	61.25%	155	38.75%
4	If you are invited to deliver a Guest Lecture /Special Talk/Motivational Session for your juniors, will you be interested	87	21.75%	313	78.25%
5	Do you like to join the college Alumni Association	241	60.25%	159	39.75%
6	Have you participated in any Alumni meet as of now	213	53.25%	187	46.75%
7	Do you receive regular updates from the college through Mail/Calls/SMS	249	62.25%	151	37.75%


90.00%
80.00%
70.00%
60.00%
50.00%
40.00%
30.00%
20.00%
10.00%
0.00%
79.25%
20.75%
69.75%
30.25%
61.25%
38.75%
78.25%
21.75%
60.25%
39.75%
53.25%
46.75%
62.25%
37.75%
Has the Placement Training Cell provided ample On Campus placement opportunities
Has the Placement Training Cell provided ample Off Campus placement opportunities
Have you availed Career counseling and guidance for higher studies from Placement Training Cell
If you are invited to deliver a Guest Lecture /Special Talk/Motivational Session for your juniors, will you be interested
Do you like to join the college Alumni Association
Have you participated in any Alumni meet as of now
Do you receive regular updates from the college through Mail/Calls/SMS
Yes
No